.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,473	04/06/2005	Seiji Yamamoto	GRT/423-70	4977	
	7590 06/01/200 NDERHYE, PC	7	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			KETTER, JAMES S		
AKLINGTON,	VA 22203	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636		
•	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Occurrence	10/530,473	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	James S. Ketter	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communicati  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
	-· action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	.0
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement		
Application Papers	noston roquironiona		
<u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	•	d iii tiiis National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , ,	d	
Attachment(s)	A D	(DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/530,473

Art Unit: 1636

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Applicants must elect a single virus or virus family as the source or origin of the virus envelope. This is <u>not</u> a species election, but rather an election between distinct <u>inventions</u>.

The inventions do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The use of viral envelopes to deliver an anticancer drug was taught in Kaneda et al. (Molecular Therapy, published 8/2002, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 219-226, cited on IDS filed 6 April 2005). See, e.g., the paragraph bridging pages 224-225. As such, this feature does not qualify as a special technical feature linking the inventions, i.e., the viral types or viral families.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Ketter whose telephone number is 571-272-0770. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,473

Art Unit: 1636

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSK 19 May 2007

> JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER